HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL EXPANSION Project 11

Western Dredging Association — Webinar January 14, 2021



Presenters & Topics





Charlie Jenkins Port Houston & Project 11 Importance

Captain J.J. Plunkett Houston Pilots Perspective



Dana Cheney Joint Venture Segments 1-2 Design

Neil McLellan HDR Engineering Segments 3-4 Design

Port Houston: Who We Are

We manage eight public terminals — including two container terminals

As the non-federal sponsor of the Houston Ship Channel, the nation's busiest waterway, we support the nearly 200 facilities along it

We help facilitate vital commerce through the port that keeps local, state, and national economy moving



History



1911

Harris County Houston Ship Channel Navigation District



1925

US Army Corps of Engineers dredges channel to 30 feet



2005 Project 10 completed



To better serve manufacturing industries, the channel is deepened to 45 feet and widened to 530 feet through Galveston Bay, plus environmental restoration projects are constructed with dredged material.

1914 Project 6 completed **1935** P

The port officially opens to deepdraft vessels



1935 Project 7 completed

Refining facilities drive the need to expand, this time to 400 feet wide



Houston Ship Channel Impact







The Energy Capital of the World



- The Houston Ship Channel has the largest petrochemical complex in the U.S.
- Houston also has the largest energy pipeline and storage network in the U.S.
- **500 million barrels** of liquid storage along the HSC
- A total of 69% of cargo on Houston Ship Channel is liquid bulk



By the Numbers # U.S. Port by Foreign

U.S. Port by Foreign Waterborne Tonnage – 169.9M Tons

The U.S. Coastal and Inland Navigation System

2019 Transportation Facts & Information





Navigation and Civil Works Decision Support Center U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Leading U.S. Ports in 2019

(Millions of Short Tons and Percent Change¹ from 2018)

			Domestic Forei			aian	ign Total ²		
Rank	Type ³	Port	Tons	%	Tons	м %	Tons	%	
Runk	Type		10113	70	10113	70	1013	/0	
1	C	Houston Port Authority TX	75.2	-3.4	209.8	9.8	284.9	6.0	
2	C	South Louisiana, LA, Port of	122.3	-8.7	115.6	-18.3	238.0	-13.6	
3	Ĉ	New York, NY & NJ	45.3	-2.8	91.3	-2.5	136.6	-2.6	
4	С	Corpus Christi, TX	25.8	6.4	85.4	23.4	111.2	19.0	
5	С	Beaumont, TX	36.9	-3.9	64.2	3.8	101.1	0.8	
6	С	New Orleans, LA	48.2	-2.7	44.0	0.4	92.2	-1.2	
7	С	Port of Long Beach, CA	9.5	-8.1	71.2	-6.6	80.7	-6.8	
8	С	Port of Greater Baton Rouge, LA	43.4	-8.0	30.0	-14.4	73.4	-10.7	
9	С	Port of Los Angeles, CA	6.8	-15.3	56.3	-6.0	63.0	-7.1	
10	С	Virginia, VA, Port of	4.5	-0.9	57.2	-14.9	61.7	-14.0	
11	С	Lake Charles Harbor District, LA	31.8	0.0	26.3	0.0	58.0	0.0	
12	С	Mobile, AL	19.7	-10.9	37.2	1.9	56.9	-3.0	
13	С	Plaquemines Port District, LA	29.0	-6.9	23.8	-7.5	52.8	-7.2	
14	С	Baltimore, MD	6.6	-9.1	37.6	0.3	44.2	-1.2	
15	С	Port of Savannah, GA	0.9	-18.4	41.0	2.2	41.9	1.6	
16	С	Texas City, TX	16.7	0.0	24.6	0.0	41.3	0.0	
17	- I -	Huntington-Tristate, KY, OH, WV	36.8	7.4	**	0.0	36.8	7.4	
18	- i -	Cincinnati-Northern KY. Ports of	36.6	-5.1	**	0.0	36.6	-5.1	
19	С	Port Arthur, TX	11.3	3.7	22.7	-21.8	33.9	-14.8	
20	Ĺ	Duluth-Superior, MN and WI	26.4	-1.5	7.4	-11.6	33.7	-3.9	
21	- î -	St. Louis, MO and IL	31.3	-16.5	**	0.0	31.3	-16.5	
22	Ċ	Tampa Port Authority FL	18.1	-3.6	11.9	-2.5	30.0	-32	
23	č	Port Freeport TX	3.9	-14.8	26.0	24.3	29.8	17.3	
24	č	Richmond CA	7.3	-17.8	21.2	15.2	28.5	4 5	
25	č	Jackson County Port MS	8.8	-10.1	17.0	-3.0	25.8	-5.5	
26	č	Valdez AK	24.3	-5.1	0.0	268.5	25.2	-2.4	
27	č	Port of Charleston, SC	2.0	-2.2	22.6	-0.8	24.6	-0.9	
28	Ċ	Port Everglades El	13.4	0.3	10.6	-9.2	24.0	-4.1	
20	č	Seattle WA	53	-5.4	17.7	-13.4	23.0	-11.7	
30	ĩ	Pittsburgh PA Port of	21.8	1.0	**	0.0	20.0	1.0	
31	ċ	Tacoma WA	13	33.8	17.2	-12.4	21.0	-5.0	
32	č	Port of Portland OR	7.1	-5.8	12.2	-22.0	10 4	-16.7	
22	č	Port of Oakland, OK	1.1	0.0	17.6	0.0	10.3	0.0	
34	ĉ	Paulshoro NI	6.7	13.1	11.0	2.4	18.0	14.2	
35	č	lacksonville. El	77	-6.8	10.0	3.0	17.7	-1.5	
36	č	Port of Kalama WA	1.7	50.5	15.0	A 1	17.0	7.8	
37	ĩ	Two Harbors MN	13 /	0.1	3.5	8.2	16.0	17	
38	Ċ	Marcus Hook PA	8.6	22.7	9.1 8.1	-0.2 56.0	16.7	36.8	
30	ć	Philadelphia Regional Port PA	7.1	32.7	0.1	12.0	16.3	30.0	
39	č	Roston MA	7.1 5.1	-32.5	9.2	-42.9	16.0	- 30.0	
40	č	Honolulu Oʻabu HI	12.1	7.2	10.9	10.0	14.2	-1.1	
41	i i	Detroit Weyne County Dert MI	10.2	10.0	2.0	0.0	10.0	10.2	
42	L	Detroit-wayne County Fort, Mi	10.5	-10.0	3.U **	-0.0	10.0	-10.5	
43	1	Mid America Port Commission	12.2	0.0	**	-75.0	12.2	2.5	
44	- i	Claudand Curabara Dart OU	12.0	0.0	1 0	0.0 E 0	12.0	0.0	
45	L C	Dert of Veneouver USA 14/A	10.1	U.Z 71 E	1.0	0.∠ F 1	11.9	0.9	
40	Ċ	Columnary TY	2.2	11.5	0.0 6 1	-0.1	11.0	4.1	
4/	Ċ	Galveston, IA	4.9	11.3	0.1	20.0	10.4	20.3	
40	C I	Jan Juan, FR Illinois International Part II	4.9	-0.2	5.5 1 7	-19.2	10.4	-11.5	
49	Ľ	Det of Logarithm MA	ö.4	-44.0	1.7	-1.0	10.0	-40.5	
50	C	ForLOT LONGVIEW, VVA	1.1	-1.3	8.5	-31.8	9.7	-29.0	

Continued on the next panel

Current Effort: Project 11





Texas Population, Millions (left axis) Total POH Cargo x 10 Millions (left axis)



Container TEU's x 100,000's (left Axis) Ship Channel Depth, feet (right axis)

Needed now...Why Wait...?



- USACE Feasibility Report shows the project's National Economic Development benefits to be \$80.3 M/yr
 - \$80.3M x 4 years faster \$321M in additional project benefits
 - Plus, interest savings during construction of \$23M
 - Total \$344M additional NED Benefits
- Industry has pledged to contribute 50/50 with Port Houston to accelerate the project
 - \$960M/2 = \$480/4 year acceleration = \$120M per year or \$10M per month
 - Does not include their profit....



Success Requires Partnerships and Collaboration





HSC Project Overview





Charting a New Course

- ERDC Service Agreement (Done)
- PED New Start Investment Decision (Done)
- 221 WIK Credit (Done)
- Project Management Plan (Done)
- Design Agreement (Done)
- PED Contributed Funds Agreement (Done)
- 95% Design, Segment 1-4 (Done)
- Construction Agreement (In Development)
- Project Partnership Agreement (In Development)
- Procurement Strategy (In Development)





Houston Ship Channel Proposed Improvements



Bolivar Roads to Redfish

- Approximately 11.5 miles in length
- Widen Channel to 700 feet
- Bend easings
- Construct bird island
- Mitigate for oyster habitat loss

Redfish to Bayport Ship Channel

- Currently not in the proposed federal plan, so must be built by local interests
- Approximately 8.3 miles in length
- Widen Channel to a minimum of 700 feet
- Bend easings
- Construct marshes and three bird islands in Galveston Bay
- Mitigate for oyster habitat loss

Bayport Ship Channel to Barbours Cut

- Currently not in the proposed federal plan, so must be built by local interests
- Approximately 5 miles in length
- Widen Channel to 700 feet
- Construct additional marshes
- Mitigate for oyster habitat loss



Bayport Ship Channel

- Approximately 4 miles in length
- Widen Channel to approximately 455 feet
- Construct three bird islands and marshes in Galveston Bay
- Mitigate for oyster habitat loss
- Modify channel entrance to reduce shoaling

Barbours Cut Ship Channel

1B

1C

- Widen Channel to approximately 455 feet
- Construct additional marshes on Atkinson Island

3

6

Modify channel entrance

Boggy Bayou (BW 8) to Sims Bayou

- Widen Channel to approximately 530 feet through Greens Bayou confluence
- Deepen from existing 41 feet to 46.5 feet from Boggy Bayou to Hunting Bayou (last Turning Basin before reaching Washburn Tunnel)

Sims Bayou to IH 610

Deepen from existing 37 feet to 41.5 feet

IH 610 to Turning Basin

- Deepen from existing 37 feet to potential 39 feet
- Increase Brady Island Turning Basin



Houston Ship Channel Proposed Improvements



			Design Package Description	Segment	Design	Award and Construct
1	1	Bolivar Roads to Redfish Reef	Construct Dollar Reef Oyster beds	1A	PHA/USACE	USACE
USACE PORT HOUSTON	2	Boggy Bayou to Sims Bayou	Prepare Beltway 8 Site	4	PHA	PHA
	3	Bolivar Roads to Redfish	 Dredge Houston Ship Channel to 700-ft wide and relocate barge lanes Construct two bird islands (New Evia Island II and New Long Bird Island) 	1A	PHA	PHA
	4	Redfish	 Dredge Houston Ship Channel to 700-ft wide and relocate barge lanes Offshore material disposal to Ocean Dredged Material Disposal Site (ODMDS) 	1A, 1B	PHA	PHA
	5	Redfish to Bayport (Beacon 76)	 Dredge Houston Ship Channel to 700-ft wide and relocate barge lanes Dredge Bayport Ship Channel up to 455-ft wide Construct New Bird Island Marsh complex and oyster beds 	1B, 2	PHA	PHA
	6	Bayport (Beacon 76) to Morgans Point	 Dredge Houston Ship Channel to 700-ft wide and relocate barge lanes Construct Marsh 11 Enhancement of Marsh 7/8/9 and Marsh 10 	1C	PHA	РНА
	7	Barbours Cut Ship Channel	 Dredge Barbours Cut Ship Channel up to 455-ft wide Barbours Cut Flare Relief Cedar Bayou Sweep Spilman Island & Morgans Point Sheet Pile Wall Place in newly constructed Marsh Cell 12 	3	PHA	PHA
	8	Boggy Bayou to Hunting	Construct Beltway 8 and East-East Clinton placement areas	4	PHA	PHA
	9	Bayou Turning Basin	 Dredge Boggy to Greens at 46.5-ft MLLW and 530-feet and Greens to Hunting at existing federal width to 46.5-ft MLLW 	4	PHA	PHA
	10		Prepare Glendale Placement Area	5	USACE	USACE
	11	Sims to Turning Basin	Prepare Filterbed Placement Area	6	USACE	USACE
	12		Dredge Houston Ship Channel from 37.5 up to 41.5 ft MLLW	5, 6	USACE	USACE

Wider is more efficient and safer





Who are the Houston Pilots?

- 99 Pilots, all independent contractors while on vessels
- Membership is 50/80 mix of blue and brown water
- Master mariners with Coast Guard license and State Commission issued by the Governor of Texas
- Required by Texas State Statute to guide deep draft ships into Harris County Ports
- Governed by Pilot Board of Commissioners





Busiest Waterway in the United States!









Pilot Support of Project 11

- Oct/Nov 2017: Feasibility level full mission bridge simulations
- Sept/Oct 2019: Houston Pilot sponsored full mission bridge simulations
- May/June 2020: Preconstruction engineering design level full mission bridge simulations







HP Sponsored Assessment of Channel Widening





Houston Ship Channel Assessment Assessment of Proposed Widening of Houston Ship Channel to Meet Current and Future Traffic Needs

November 1 2019 | 13205.101



Full Mission Bridge Simulations Overview

- Objective: Evaluate the differences in safely navigating the 700 ft and 800 ft channel variants
- 3 Design vessels:
 - ULCV 1200 ft x 158 ft x 45 ft
 - VLCC 1088 ft x 200 ft
 - Suezmax 900 ft x 164 ft
 - Channel variants: 700 ft vs 800 ft wide mid bay reach
- Night & day runs
- Up to 20 kts of adverse wind & 1.0 kt of current
- 20 exploratory runs (eval various meeting situations, traffic density, wind & current conditions)
- 36 comparative runs with double encounters



Assessment Key Findings

- HSC is narrowest waterway (relative to vessel beam) of major US ports & has the highest level of vessel traffic
- Bank suction forces are the primary hazard on HSC
- In comparing 2 channel widths, ship to ship distances remain constant, but wider channel allows for greater ship to bank distances.
- Most important safety metric was recovery angle and distance from the ship's stern quarter to the bank















Improved channel "value proposition" to stakeholders



- Increase combined beam meeting rule from 310 to 340 ft
- Move daylight restriction from Bolivar Roads to Morgans Point
- Container vessels over 1,000 ft LOA will move 24 hours a day.



Houston Ship Channel Mainstem, Galveston Bay & Bayport Ship Channel



Houston Ship Channel Mainstem, Galveston Bay

Widen existing 530-foot- wide by 46-foot MLLW deep channel to a 700-foot-wide channel with relocation of the 230-foot wide by 13-foot MLLW deep barge lanes from Bolivar Roads to Morgans Point on both sides of the channel.

Bayport Ship Channel

Widen the existing 350/400-foot-wide by 46-foot MLLW deep channel to a uniform 455-foot-wide channel all to the north.

- All dredged materials with construction capability will be used beneficially for oyster reef, bird island, and marsh creation
- Dredging contracts to achieve the improvements to Segments 1 & 2 are currently planned under 4 contracts (Contracts 3, 4, 5, and 6)
- Dredging options include a combination of hydraulic pipeline, mechanical scow, and hopper dredging
- Depending on timing of Port Procurement and USACE O&M, maintenance dredging of some or part
 of Segments 1 & 2 will occur during the construction timeline via hopper dredging to be placed in the
 ODMDS

Houston Ship Channel Mainstem, Galveston Bay & Bayport Ship Channel



Project	Stationing			Design Width	Design Depth	Side Slopes	Dredge Volume (CY)		Total Volume	
	Station Begin	Station End	Length (LF)	(ft.)	(ft. MLLW)	(H:V)	RD	AM	OD	(CY)
C90-D13- P11-003	BRC STA 2+607.32	HSC STA 98+000	42,976	700	48	3:1	1,353,000	-	391,000	1,744,000
	HSC STA 98+000	HSC STA 78+000	20,000	700	48	3:1	1,256,000	-	280,000	1,536,000
C90-D13-	HSC STA 78+000	HSC STA 57+000	21,000	700	48	4:1	3,278,000	-	280,000	3,558,000
P11-004	HSC STA 57+000	HSC RED SIDE STA 47+000	10,000	700	40	4:1	568,000	-	-	568,000
	HSC STA 57+000	HSC GRN SIDE STA 45+000	12,000	700	30	4:1	267,000	-	-	267,000
	HSC STA 57+000	HSC STA 56+000	1,000	700	48	4:1		-	555,000	
	HSC STA 56+000	HSC STA 31+059.92	24,940	700	48	3:1	6,090,000			6,645,000
C00 D12	HSC STA 31+059.92	HSC STA 15+500	15,560	700	48.5	3:1				
D11 005	BSC STA 42+07.80	BSC STA 222+75.87	18,068	455	48.5	3:1	1,940,000	11,000	102,000	2,053,000
P11-005	BSC STA 48+19.51	BSC STA 60+00	1,440	81-231	48.5	2.5:1	380,000	-	16,000	396,000
	BSC STA 110+00	BSC STA 122+31.79	1,232	231	48.5	2.5:1	264,000	-	19,000	283,000
	BSC STA 211+56.66	BSC STA 238+37.31	2,681	Flare Varies	48.5	3:01	65,000	128,000	66,000	259,000
	HSC STA 15+500	HSC STA -0+003.94	20,004	700	48.5	3:1	2,845,000	-	205,000	3,050,000
C90-D13- P11-006	HSC STA -0+003.94 = HSC BAYOU STA 00+00	HSC BAYOU STA 27+48.18	2,748	700-530	48.5	3:1	191,000	-	13,000	204,000
						Volume Totals:	18,497,000	139,000	1,927,000	20,563,000

RD = Required Depth

AM = Advanced Maintenance

OD = Over Depth

Houston Ship Channel Mainstem, Galveston Bay & Bayport Ship Channel



Project Number	Project Name	Dredging	Existing PA	New PA	
C90-D13-P11-003	Bolivar Roads to Redfish HSC Station 138+369 to HSC Station 98+000	Widen existing 530-FT HSC to 700-FT from approximate Station 138+369 to 98+000		New Long Bird Island	
C90-D13-P11-004	Redfish to South Boaters Cut HSC Station 98+000 to HSC Station 45+000	Widen existing 530-FT HSC to 700-FT from approximate Station 98+000 – 45+000	ODMDS		
C90-D13-P11-005	South Boaters Cut to Bayport (Beacon 76) HSC Station 57+000 to 15+500 & Bayport Ship Channel Station 238+37.21 to 42+07.80	Widen existing 530-FT HSC to 700-FT from approximate Station 57+000 – 15+500 Widen existing 4,000-FT BSC Flare to align with 700-FT HSC widening from approximate HSC Station 26+750 to 28+605.05 Widen existing 350/400-FT existing BSC to 455-FT from approximate Station 42+07.80 to 222+75.87 Dredging of BSC Dock 7 (BSC Station 45+59.70 to 60+00) and Dock 1 (BSC Station 110+00 to 122+31.79)		Bird Island Marsh San Leon Oyster Mitigation Dollar Reef Oyster Mitigation	
C90-D13-P11-006	Bayport (Beacon 76) to Morgan's Point HSC Station 15+500 to HSC Station -0+003.94	Widen existing 530-FT HSC to 700-FT from approximate Station 15+500 to -0+003.94 and HSC Bayou Station 00+00 to 27+48.18	Dike Rehabilitation of M7/8/9 & M10	M11	

Houston Ship Channel, Typical Cross-Section







20

-10

-20

-30

-40

-50

-60

500

Bayport Ship Channel Widening, Typical Cross-Section



Bolivar Roads to Redfish

- Excavate 1.7 MCY of dredged material
- Shoreline protection
 - \circ 31,000 tons of material for armor stone
 - \circ 5,000 tons of blanket stone
- New Long Bird Island Construction
- Oyster Mitigation

+7.5 FT MLL

 \circ 18,500 tons of crushed limestone

+8.0 FT MLLW-

6" CRUSHED

STONE VENEER

RECOVERABLE

MATERIAL

+7.5 FT MLLW

EXISTING BAY BOTTOM VARIE

Long Bird Island Typical Section



Redfish

- Full widening template to be dredged and placed at ODMDS from HSC Station 100-000 to 57+000
- Added stripping of material from HSC Station 57+000 to 47+000 to -40 ft MLLW on Red side to ODMDS
- Added stripping of material from HSC Station 57+000 to 45+000 to -30 ft MLLW on Green side to ODMDS





Redfish to Bayport Ship Channel

- Excavate 9.6 MCY (6.6 MCY HSC, 3.0 MCY BSC) of dredged material
- Dredge for BSC docks 1 and 7
- Remove and replace shore protection on north side of the BSC
- Construct 240-acre Marsh/Bird Island Complex at Bird Island Marsh (BIM) and oyster reef mitigation pads at Dollar Reef and San Leon
 - \circ $\,$ 126,000 tons of armor stone for BIM $\,$
 - \circ $\,$ 18,000 tons of bedding stone for BIM $\,$
 - 93,000 tons of cultch for oyster wave trips at BIM
 - 425,000 tons of crushed limestone for construction of 20 oyster mitigation pads





Redfish to Bayport Ship Channel, Continued





Bird Island Marsh Island and Wave Trip Typical Section



Bird Island Marsh Dike Typical Section



Typical Shore Protection Section at Bird Island Marsh



Mechanical Fill Oyster Pad Typical Section

Bayport Ship Channel to Morgan's Point

- Channel widening from 530 to 700 feet wide (hydraulic dredging)
- Relocate barge lanes (HSC)
 - \circ Excavate 3.3 MCY of dredged material
- Construct of M11 and repair of existing perimeter dikes at M10 and M7/8/9
 - 133,000 tons of Armor Stone for M7/8/9, M10, and M11
 - 24,000 tons of Blanket stone for M7/8/9, M10, and M11



Challenges



- Compressed field work, design, procurement and construction schedules.
- Procurement and management of construction to maintain 5-mile rule between dredging operations and managing multiple contracts and contractors at the same time
- **Determining the effectiveness of some of the unique project aspects**, such as the oyster wave trips as design guidance in the engineering manuals and methods have not been updated for Beneficial Use.
- Balancing immediate cost savings versus long term costs and outward forecasting of changes, particularly for bulkhead design, pipeline relocations, and shore protection
- Managing multiple environmental challenges such as changing of air and water quality rules as well as updates to considerations for endangered species
- Coordination of disciplines and partnerships between multiple design teams, experts, agencies, stakeholders, and channel users to find a common ground where the economy, commerce, engineering, and the environment can provide benefit simultaneously.

Environment





Bird Islands

- Four new bird islands:
 - New Bird Island (3) Marsh Complex
 - o New Long Bird Island



Oyster Reefs

- Up to 350 acres of replacement oyster reef pads
- Two new Oyster Reef Areas:
 - \circ San Leon Reef
 - \circ Dollar Reef





Air Quality

- Initial 3% reduction of NOx from vessel emissions
- Wider, deeper safer channel results in fewer transits, shorter wait times, and decreased turn-around distance
- Over the next 11 years after project implementation – expect to incrementally reduce air emissions by 7% annually



- Widen Channel by 155 ft to 455 ft width
 - 2.8 million yds
- 1800 ft turning Basin at Flare
- Shoreline stabilization







- Morgan's Point Shoreline
 Stabilization Optimization
- Reduce cost without impacting Navigation







Morgans Point Bulkhead

- Successfully reduced size and cost
- Two typical wall sections





Channel Design and Layout







Beneficial Use

- BUG Committee Coordination
- Habitat Protection/Creation











- Widen and deepen from Boggy Bayou to Sims Bayou to 530 ft.
- Deepen from Boggy Bayou to Hunting Bayou to 46.5 ft MLLW
- Placement Area
 Development



PORT HOUSTON"

- BW8 Site Preparation
- Bunker Removal
- Site Clearing







BW 8 DMPA

- 315 acres
- 10 ft dike height
- 15,425 ft perimeter
- Pipeline coordination

EL 30.00*

1



EL 19.70'

Expandthehoustonshipchannel.com

STATION 91+00 TO 110+00

-2.4" CROWN

EL 29.80'

PERIMETER CONTAINMENT DIKE

E2 Clinton DMPA

- 35 ft dike height
- 80 Acres
- 7,840 ft Perimeter







- Channel Layout and Design
- Coordination with Industry
- Pipelines







- Channel widening from 300 to 530 ft
- Approximately 4.2 million cubic yards
- Pipeline Relocations



The Time is Now

"The Houston Ship Channel project is needed now more than ever. Providing infrastructure to keep the flow of diverse and essential products moving, as well as building the foundation for economic opportunities in the future, is a fundamental role of Port Houston. We have gone beyond the minimum requirements of a non-federal sponsor, using our own resources to design, build and fund urgently needed components of the project."

Port Houston Commission Chairman Ric Campo

Schedule

Design Packages:

- Packages 1, 3-9 advertised pending balance of risk, schedule, and cost — during the second quarter of 2021
- Package 2, advertised first quarter of 2021
- Packages 10-12 advertised in 2024 or after

Pauestions?

Visit the Project 11 Webpage https://www.expandthehoustonshipchannel.com/

Email the Project 11 Team Project11@PortHouston.com

Email Houston Pilots – Captain J.J. Plunkett Jplunkett@Houston-pilots.com