

#### A Case Study of the Engineering and Design of the Cole's Bayou Marsh Restoration Project (TV-63)









Amanda Taylor, E.I. Project Engineer Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority Dr. John D. Foret Project Manager NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service

#### committed to our coast

# TV-63 Project Background and Marsh Loss

- Located in Lower Vermilion Parish about 5 miles Southwest of Intracoastal City, La
- Project area wetlands undergoing loss rate of -0.42% per year based on 1983 to 2011 USGS data
- Wetland loss processes include subsidence/sediment deficiency and storm impacts resulting in interior ponding and pond enlargement
- Salt water intrusion and hydrologic changes have resulted in a more floatant marsh increasing susceptibility to tidal energy and storm damage.



Dredging Summit & Expo 2015

Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority of Louisiana







# TV-63 Design Goals

- Create 365 acres of brackish marsh in recently formed open water areas
- Nourish 53 acres of existing brackish marsh
- Increase freshwater and sediment inflow into interior wetlands by improving project area's hydrology



### Percent Inundation Determination

- Percentage of the year a certain elevation of land would be flooded based on water levels found in that region.
- Brackish marsh optimal range is flooded between 10% and 65% of the time.

ТҮО	Elevation (ft, NAVD88) - % inundation
10%	1.26
20%	0.96
30%	0.80
40%	0.68
50%	0.6
60%	0.50
65%	0.43
70%	0.42
80%	0.25
90%	-0.01

	Elevation (ft, NAVD88) - %
TY20	inundation
10%	1.95
20%	1.65
30%	1.49
40%	1.37
50%	1.29
60%	1.19
65%	1.12
70%	1.11
80%	0.94
90%	0.68

TY20

Dredging Summit & Expo 2015

Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority of Louisiana

# Project Surveys

- Bathymetric, Topographic, and Magnetometer surveys taken from April 2013 to June 2013 and from October 2014 to November 2014.
- Transect Spacing:
  - Marsh Fill Areas: 250 ft. grid format
  - Borrow Area: 500 ft.
  - Equipment Access Corridor and Dredge Pipeline Alignment: 1000 ft.
- Position and Elevation shots taken at 25 ft. intervals along transect.
- Magnetometer surveys taken in a 500 ft. grid format in the marsh fill and along the borrow area, equipment access corridor, and dredge pipeline alignment transects.
- Magnetometer surveys also located the existence of two (2) pipelines and one (1) flowline in project area vicinity.

## Healthy Marsh Elevation Survey

- Five (5) locations
- 20 survey points taken at each location

Location	Elevation (ft NAVD88)
M-1	0.88
M-2	0.77
M-3	0.71
M-4	0.60
M-5	0.80
Average	0.75

# Geotechnical Investigation

- 26 soil borings taken from February 2013 to April 2013
  - 10 borings in Marsh Fill Area (20-80 ft. in depth)
  - 6 borings in Borrow Area (20 ft. in depth)
  - 8 borings at Water Control Structure locations (20-80 ft. in depth)
  - 2 borings along Freshwater Bayou shoreline (30 ft. in depth)
- Laboratory tests included:
  - Soil compressive strength, moisture content, organic content, grain size analysis, specific gravity, consolidation, and Atterberg limits
- Analysis of the samples included:
  - Determination of Soil Characteristics
  - Slope Stability Analysis for Earthen Containment Dikes
  - Settlement Analysis for Marsh Fill and Earthen Containment Dikes

#### Marsh Fill Settlement Curves

Marsh Area #1-2 - Post Construction Marsh Settlement Curves



7/1/2015

Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority of Louisiana

#### Marsh Fill Settlement Curves

Marsh Area #3 - Post Construction Marsh Settlement Curves



# Hydrodynamic Modeling

- Modeled the hydrodynamics of the project area and surrounding marshes
  - Adaptive Hydraulics Model (ADH)
  - Tracked water movement and salinity
  - Calibrated with data from monitoring stations set up in and around project area
    - Collected data on water levels, salinity, TSS, etc.
- Four (4) scenarios including existing conditions
  - Scenario 0-Existing Conditions
  - Scenario 1-Marsh Creation Only
  - Scenario 2-Marsh Creation plus Water Control Structures at Invert=0.0'
  - Scenario 3-Marsh Creation plus Water Control Structures at Invert=-2.0'

Scenario	Features	Flow In (cfs)	Flow Out (cfs)	Net Flow Out of Marsh(cfs)	Average Water Level (ft)
Existing	-	19.8	23.9	4.1	0.96
1	Marsh Fill at +1.3ft, NAVD88	15.9	19.6	3.7	0.99
2	Marsh Fill at +1.3ft, NAVD88				
	Conveyance Channel around MC3	6.2	10.6	4.4	1.22
	48in Culverts (Invert=0.0ft)				
3	Marsh Fill at +1.3ft, NAVD88				
	Conveyance Channel around MC3	40.5	43.8	3.3	1.02
	48in Culverts (Invert=-2.0ft)				

Dredging Summit & Expo 2015

Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority of Louisiana

# Hydrodynamic Modeling

- Conveyance Channel
  - Containment borrowed from outside of Marsh Creation Fill Area 3
  - Maximum bottom elevation=-5.0 ft NAVD88
  - Side Slopes= 1(V):4(H)
- Reconnects trenasses that would otherwise be cut off by the construction of Marsh Creation Fill Area 3



#### Marsh Creation Design



Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority of Louisiana

#### **Target Marsh Elevation**

#### Marsh Area #1-2 and Earthen Containment Dike Settlement Curves



Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority of Louisiana

#### Target Marsh Elevation

Marsh Area #3 and Earthen Containment Dike Settlement Curves



Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority of Louisiana

# Earthen Containment Dike Design-Marsh Creation Fill Areas 1 & 2



# Earthen Containment Dike Design-Marsh Creation Fill Area 3

- Crown Elevation:
  - +3.5 feet NAVD88
- Side Slope: 1(V):4(H)
- Top Width: 5.0 feet
- Containment
  Borrowed from
  outside of fill area



## Borrow Area Design

- Proximity to Project Area
- Available vs. Required
  - Volumes computed using survey cross sections
  - Required volume = Fill Volume \* C:F (1.5)
- Available: ~3.3 MCY
- Required: ~2.7 MCY



#### Borrow Area Design



# Equipment Access Corridor Design

- Maximum bottom elevation: -9 feet NAVD88
- Maximum bottom width: 70 feet
- Side Slopes: 1(V):3(H)
- Temporary disposal areas on either side of corridor will be backfilled once construction is completed

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Equipment Access Corridor Design

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Equipment Access Corridor Design

- 10 inch Acadian Pipeline crosses Equipment Access Corridor
- 2-3 inch Acadian Flow Line removed on 4/17/15

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

Dredging Summit & Expo 2015 Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority of Louisiana

#### Hydrologic Restoration Design Water Control Structure Design

- 48" corrugated HDPE pipe
  - Invert=-2.0 ft. NAVD88
  - Placed in existing breaches or through existing dike
- One way check valves to facilitate North to South flow
- Typical Section

![](_page_24_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Hydrologic Restoration Design Duckbill Check Valve

- Duckbill check valves
  - Less maintenance required than with steel gate
  - Lightweight and durable
  - Easy to install

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Conclusions

- Impounded and semi-impounded areas pose unique issues when designing marsh creation and hydrologic restoration projects.
- Unidirectional flow and consistent freshwater and sediment input are essential in maintaining the longevity of this and many other marsh creation projects.

### Questions?

# Hydrologic Monitoring Stations

- Six monitoring stations located in and around project area
- Monitored water levels, salinity, TSS, etc. from April 2013 to October 2013
- Data used to calibrate Hydrodynamic model and provide subordinate station data for Tidal Datum and Percent Inundation calculations

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Tidal Gage Locations and Tidal Datum Determination

#### **Subordinate Station**

- TV63-04
- Set up for TV-63 project
- Located in center of largest marsh fill cell
- 6 months of continuous data (04/13 10/13)

#### **Control Station**

- CRMS2041
- Approximately 4 miles from TV-63
- 5 Years of Continuous Data (7/08-1/14)

KNOWN VARIABLES	ELEV. FT NAVD88				
MHW <sub>c</sub> = 5 Year Mean High Water At Control Station	1.86				
$MTL_{C} = 5$ Year Mean Tide Level At Control Station	1.51				
$MLW_{C} = 5$ Year Mean Low Water At Control Station	1.15				
$MR_{C}$ = 5 Year Mean Tide Range At Control Station	0.72				
TL <sub>C</sub> = Mean Tide Level For The Observation Period At Control Station	1.78				
R <sub>C</sub> = Mean Tide Range For The Observation Period At Control Station					
TL <sub>s</sub> = Mean Tide Level For The Observation Period At Subordinate Station	0.84				
R <sub>s</sub> = Mean Tide Range For The Observation Period At Subordinate Station	0.19				
CALCULATED VARIABLES					
$MHW_s = 5$ Year Mean High Water At Subordinate Station (MHW = MTL + MR/2)	0.68				
$MTL_s = 5$ Year Mean Tide Level At Subordinate Station (MTL = TL+MTL-TL)					
MLW <sub>s</sub> =5 Year Mean Low Water At Subordinate Station (MLW=MTL-MR/2)					
$MR_s=5$ Year Mean Tide Range At Subordinate Station (MR=(MR*R)/R)	0.20				

- MHW, MLW in surrounding marshes and Little Vermilion Bay
  - MHW= +1.85 ft. NAVD88
  - MLW= +1.16 ft. NAVD88

### Relative Sea Level Rise (RSLR)

- CPRA utilizes its Planning Division to assist with calculating RSLR
- The RSLR rate estimated is 0.03451 ft/year (0.6902 ft at end of project life).

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Earthen Containment Dike Slope Stability

- Slope/W used to compute factors of safety
- Minimum acceptable factor of safety for this area determined to be 1.5

				Factors of Safety			
Marsh Area	Estimated Berm Crest El. (ft. NAVD88)	Borrow Excavation Offset (ft.)	Berm Side Slope	Circular Arc In Berm Slope	Circular Arc Bearing Capacity	Global Sliding Toward Borrow Pit	
1	+3.0	20	4H:1V	2.02	2.74	2.66	
	+4.0			1.61	2.22	2.18	
2	+3.0	20	4H:1V	3.97	4.89	3.31	
	+4.0			2.71	2.86	2.62	
3	+3.0	20	4H:1V	2.02	3.10	2.65	
	+4.0			1.61	2.38	2.08	

# Marsh Fill Settlement Analysis

- Utilized Terzaghi's 1-D linear Consolidation Theory and PSDDF
- Goals:
  - 1. Determine target marsh fill elevation
    - Settlement of underlying soils (compaction)
    - Self weight consolidation
  - 2. Settle to optimal brackish marsh range (10%-65% inundated) within first few years after construction and remain within range until end of project life

![](_page_32_Figure_7.jpeg)

### Earthen Containment Dike Design

Marsh Creation Area	Design Height (ft)	Side Slopes	Crown Width (ft)	Factor of Safety	Minimum Offset (ft)	Cut to Fill	Volume of Fill (yd <sup>3</sup> )	Volume of Cut (yd³)
1	3.0	4H : 1V	5	2.02	20	1.3	7,244	9,417
2	3.0	4H : 1V	5	3.97	20	1.3	9,226	11,994
3	3.5	4H : 1V	5	1.61	20	1.3	62,614	81,398
Total							79,084	102,809

\*1.3 Cut to Fill recommended by Ardaman & Associates

# Marsh Fill Area Design

- Determined final and constructed target marsh elevations from settlement curves.
- Goal: To maintain healthy marsh that is flooded between 10% and 65% of the year during the majority of the project life.

Marsh Creation Area	Fill Height (ft)	Area (Acres)	Cut to Fill	Volume of Fill (yd³)	Volume of Cut (yd³)
1	2.0	28	1.5	85,288	127,932
2	2.0	108	1.5	318,163	477,244
3	2.5	282	1.5	1,373,450	2,060,175
Totals	I	418		1,776,901	2,665,352

\*Volumes for Marsh Creation Cells 1 and 2 include filling of containment dike borrow areas.

# Borrow Area Design

- Pipeline of unknown diameter located near southwest corner of borrow area
  - 100 ft. buffer from edge of borrow area cut to pipe alignment

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Dredge Pipeline Alignment

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)