Electrocoagulation (EC) and Chitosan Enhanced Sand Filtration (CESF) Treatment Technologies For Dredge Return Water

Two Case Studies on the Lower Duwamish Waterway Seattle, Washington

WEDA Dredging Summit & Expo – June 23, 2015



Lower Duwamish Waterway

• 5.5 Mile Superfund Site

Contaminants of Concern: PCBs, PAHs, Dioxins, Furans, Metals & Phthalates

- An estimated 177 acres will be actively cleaned up. Time frame to complete the entire cleanup is estimated to be 17 years: 7 years of active cleanup and 10 years of monitored natural recovery. 105 acres of dredging or partial dredging and capping
- Early Action Areas: Slip 4, Terminal 117, Boeing Plant 2, Jorgensen Forge

Early Action Areas

Green/Duwamish River Watershed





Lower Duwamish Waterway and Early Action Cleanup Areas

Boeing Plant 2 – circa WW2



Boeing Plant 2



Boeing Plant 2 – CS1 DRWT System Constraints



- Specified treatment approach not approved by Agencies
- Chemicals/Polymers not allowed
- Small Laydown Area
 - Discharge to SS not allowed/cost prohibitive
- Considered "pilot season" for larger CS2/CS3
- Wavelonics EC technology
 selected as considered by
 Ecology as non-chemical,
 and carries GULD (TAPE
 Approval)

JorgensenForge Corporation

Boeing Plant 2 – CS1 – DRWT EC System

• Wavelonics EC system chosen as non-chemical treatment method



- The Wavelonics EC technology carries
 Washington State Department of Ecology
 General Use Level Designation for
 turbidity reduction & pH management on
 construction sites. Also approved by
 Ecology for permanent industrial
 stormwater treatment.
- Implementing a technology considered non-chemical with GULD approval facilitated project approval and kept timelines intact.
- So what is EC...

Electrocoagulation (EC)





- Sacrificial ion (coagulant) driven from a metal plate, cleaving of water to make OH+ (dissolved metals) and electron flow between plates (de-emulsification, bacterial membrane lysing).
- Used to remove colloidal particles (Turbidity/TSS), total and dissolved metals, emulsified oils and bacteria
- Implemented with Sand Filtration
- Wavelonics carries GULD approval from WA Dept. of Ecology

Boeing Plant 2 – CS1 – DRWT EC System



Boeing Plant 2 – CS1 – Challenges

1) HIGHLY VARIABLE INFLUENT Over the project duration the following breakdown of turbidity was generally observed:

- 50% of the time turbidity was >1000 ntu
- 14% of the time turbidity was 500 1000ntu
- 26% of the time turbidity was 300 500 ntu
- 10% of the time turbidity was <300 ntu

Influent Sample collected 2/11/13: TSS = 58,000mg/L (measured by ALS) NTU = 16,200 (measured with volumetric dilutions in laboratory setting)



Wide variations in turbidity and TSS were observed on a daily and even hourly basis. Factors included both the type of cut and type of material being dredged. Lower turbidity was observed when dredging in sandy areas which occurred during the first week and last couple weeks of CS1

Boeing Plant 2 – CS1 – Challenges

2) Residual Solids Management

- Settled solids in both influent and effluent tanks required removal during operational period.
 - confined space issues
 - tanker trucks
- Sediment had to be liquefied to some extent to pump out into truck. This addition of water created increased need for soil stabilizers (cement) at the transload facility.



Boeing Plant 2 – CS1 Outcome

Accomplished:

- 36,000 cy of dredging
- Operated for 48 days
- All Chronic and Acute water quality discharge parameters met.
- 6,300,000 gallons treated and discharged back to the Duwamish Waterway

WQ Parameter	Acute Criteria	Chronic Criteria	DRWTS Effluent
Cadmium	40	8.8	0.027
Chromium	1100	50	0.22
Copper	4.8	3.1	0.44
Lead	210	8.1	0.05
Mercury	1.8	0.025	0.02
Silver	1.9	1.9	0.016
Zinc	90	81	5.78
Mercury	1.8	0.025	0.02
PCBs	10	0.03	0.010
Turbidity	5 ntu above	≤5 ntu	
рН	6.5-8.5s.u.		6.5-7.5

Boeing Plant 2 – CS1 Lessons Learned

Lessons Learned:

- Operational dewatering strategy from sediment barge to DRWTS is critical
- Having a reliable way to remove solids is also critical
- Plan for redundancy

These challenges were remedied in later CS2/CS3 by replacing detention tanks with large pre settling pond (~2M gallons) and large post treatment clarifier.

As a result, Influent turbidities prior to the EC system were very low – with the highest reading at 110ntu. CS1: 90% of time >300



Jorgensen Forge EAA



Jorgensen Forge **DRWT System Constraints**

Duwanish Waterway Duwamish Waterway Park SElingrove St

S Southern St

S Rose St

S Sullivan St

Jalisco Mexican (

Loretta's Northwesterner 🔫 S Donovan St

Boeina

HEIMAN

South Park Bridge S Rose St

SorrSt

South Park Marina S Cloverdale St

S Donovan St

Dredging Summit & Expo 2015 WATERTECTONICS

No Laydown Area

Discharge to SS not allowed/cost prohibitive as full treatment required

Barge Mounted System Desired

Jorgensen Forge Corporation

Duwa

Jorgensen Forge – DRWT CESF System

• Barge Mounted Chitosan Enhanced Sand Filtration (CESF) system implemented

Chitosan Enhanced Sand Filtration (CESF)

•

- Liquid Biopolymer (coagulant/flocculent) made form crab or shrimp shells.
- Used to remove Turbidity/TSS, Total Metals
- Implemented with Sand Filtration
- GULD approved by Ecology for marine waters in 2013.

Jorgensen Forge – DRWT CESF System

Jorgensen Forge – DRWT CESF System

Jorgensen Forge Outcome

Accomplished:

- 12,500 cy of dredging
- Operated for 45 days meeting all water quality discharge parameters – <u>PCBs Non-Detect</u>
- 5,183,000 gallons treated and discharged back to the Duwamish Waterway

No operational issues.

Considerations for DRWT Systems

- <u>Site Characteristics Impacting Design:</u>
 - 1. Schedule what are the time constraints
 - 2. Has a process been approved by the Agencies.
 - 3. Available Laydown Area
 - 4. WQ Discharge Standards
 - 5. Contaminants of Concern
 - 1. Sediment Particle Size
 - 2. Total vs Dissolved Metals
 - 3. Organics
 - 6. Barge Off Loading Practices & Solids Management

Particle Diameter		Time Required to
(microns)	Soil Type	Settle 3ft
10000	Gravel	0.016 sec
2000		0.4 sec
1000		1.7 sec
600	Coarse Sand	4.6 sec
300	Silt Fence, Ponds	19.0 sec
200	Bioswales	42.0 sec
150		1.25 min
100	Fine Sand	2.8 min
60	Passive filtration	7.8 min
25	Pressurized SF	2.2 hrs
15	Bag Filters	6.2 hrs
10	Silt	14.0 hrs
5	Active Treatment	56.0 hrs
3	CESF/EC	155.3 hrs
1.5		26.0 days
1	Clay	58.0 days
0.1		16 yrs
0.01	Colloidal Particles	1600 yrs

Thank-you...Questions?

WaterTectonics

6300 Merrill Creek Parkway Suite C-100 Everett, WA 98203

For more information please contact: Liisa Doty, cpswq/cpesc National Construction Accounts Manager <u>liisa@watertectonics.com</u> (206) 371-1693 www.watertectonics.com

