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Dredging and Disposal Plan for the Navigational Channel

- Hopper dredge, about 30 days of dredging and placement
- Various placement methods investigated in short-term risk study
- Contained aquatic disposal (CAD) in excavated pits within navigation channel by hopper bottom dump
Project Location

• Situated in the inner Santos estuary of Brazil, an area of high sedimentation and precipitation

• Navigation channel of Piaçaguera Canal serving the private Cubatão Harbor in the State of Sao Paulo, Brazil
  ▪ 5.4 km long (2.4 km to be dredged)
  ▪ 100 m wide
  ▪ 13 m deep
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ERDC Study Objectives

- Generate the best estimate of storage requirements for use in sizing the confined aquatic disposal (CAD) cells
- CAD pit must have sufficient volume for:
  - Retention for settling
  - Densification for storage
  - Adequate clearance from erosive forces to reduce losses from contaminated dredged material
  - Adequate clearance from erosive forces to ensure cap stability
- Reduce the uncertainty of the prediction by using two methods of testing and analysis
Approach

• Laboratory testing
• Design modeling for short-term sizing
• Evaluation of long-term performance and risk management
Laboratory Testing for Short-Term Sizing Evaluation
Settling for Short-Term Placement Volume

- Standard Column Settling Tests
  - Flocculent settling test for TSS in water column as a function of time
  - Zone settling test for area / flow rate constraint
  - Compression settling test for storage needs
Standard Settling Tests
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Standard Settling Results

Capping Material Data
y = 183.1x^{0.1623}

Dredged Material Data
y = 176.15x^{0.1697}

Time (days)
Solids Concentration (g/L)
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Settling for Post-Placement Volume

- Incrementally Filled Tall Column Settling Test
  - Simulate 38 days of filling
  - Simulate storage for a deep CAD pit
  - Examine entrainment effects
Water remains black (TSS up to about 10 g/L) for three hours per meter of settling height. Then, TSS of 30 to 150 mg/L.
Added 1 ft of 120 g/L slurry each day for 38 days.
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Storage Concentration

Tall Column Settling Results

\[ y = 183.73x^{0.1602} \]

\[ R^2 = 0.9897 \]
Settling Results and Conclusions

• Sediment is primarily fine-grained high plastic and highly compressible silt/clay with about 20% sand.

• Hindered settling occurs above 160 g/L. Zone settling velocities are quite slow and pose concerns for sizing and potential losses of solids during placement.

• Settling properties of dredged material and capping material are very similar.

• Suspended solids concentration under quiescent settling are below 40 mg/L initially, below 20 mg/L in 1 day, and below 10 mg/L in 7 days.

• Tall column settling test provided very good data for storage needs.
Laboratory Long-Term Performance Evaluation
Consolidation Test Results

\[ e = 7.724 \, P^{-0.1932} \]

\[ K = 0.00000122 \, e^{4.374} \]

In situ Material: Gray Sandy Clay (CH); 22% Sand;
\[ w = 144.5\% \, (553 \, \text{g/L}) \]
Piaçaguera Canal CAD Pits

- Multiple cells excavated from bottom of existing navigation channel
- 4H : 1V side slopes
- 100 m top width
- Maximum depth of 25 m
- Maximum fill height of 10 m
- Cap thickness of up to 2 m
- Want about 3 m clearance to sediment interface
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CAD cells will be placed in the lower dredging reach where the sediments are suitable for ocean disposal.
Modeling
Implications for Sizing

- **Settling** (Short-Term Placement Volume)
  - Modeling Using USACE SETTLE Model
    - Prediction of Settled Solids Concentration and Bulking
    - Prediction of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) Concentration at Disposal Site (20 to 30 mg/L after 12 to 24 hours of settling)
    - Predicts Slow Zone Settling Rate (about 0.5 m/day at 120 g/L). Typical Rates are 1 to 2 m/day.
    - Prediction of Minimum Cell Area (about 21 ha/m$^3$/s)
      - e.g., at Dredge Pump Rate of 0.5 m$^3$/s, minimum cell area is about 10 ha (0.1 sq km)
Sizing

- Minimum cell area is about 200,000 sq m, given a width of 100 m, the minimum length of the CAD cell(s) is about 2 km.
- If multiple cells are used, filling must cycle between cells.
- Alternatives are to reduce the production rate by disposing only intermittently, to select a smaller dredge or to decrease slurry concentration.
Required Storage Capacity
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Filling Curve

Disposal Duration (days)

Fill Height (m)
Implications for Sizing

- **Consolidation (Long-Term Placement Volume)**
  - Modeling Using USACE PSDDF Model
    - Short-term sizing needs controlled by permeability
    - Permeability measurements in consolidation testing lacks precision and accuracy needed for predicting short-term sizing needs
    - Permeability data can be calibrated using tall column settling test results for mid- and long-term predictions
    - Relatively small adjustments required for calibration
    - Long-term volume will be about half of volume immediately after placement
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Preliminary CAD Cell Design

Conclusions

• Preliminary CAD cell design just meets area requirements

• Storage capacity provides 2 to 2.5 m of clearance for ship props, settling, and capping, about 0.5 m to 1 m less than expected

• Stretching disposal period would improve capacity
Testing Conclusions

- Tall column compression settling test provide the best basis for sizing deep CAD cells.
- Tall column predicts greater compression settling as expected due to 5 times greater material thickness.
- Permeability data in the consolidation modeling needed to be decreased by 50 percent to match tall column results.
- Consolidation test data is imprecise for permeability due to rapid changes in short sample.
Long-Term Risk

• Long term performance improved by consolidation, thereby increasing clearance for cap and providing for natural infilling of acceptable material

• Based on short-term risk evaluation, in the long-term,
  ▪ Cap is reworked and mixed, but not lost
  ▪ Mixing does not occur to a depth that compromises isolation of contaminated sediment

• Short-term risk can be improved by controls
Controls

- Mechanical dredging and disposal would increase density of disposed material
  - Increased density would reduce TSS losses to water column due to less entrainment of water and greater settling rates
  - Increased density would reduce erosional losses
  - Increased density would reduce storage needs and CAD pit size
Controls

- Disposed at the bottom of the CAD cell
- Limit the height of dredged material fill
  - Slow rate of disposal; extend period of disposal
  - Increase size of CAD cell
  - Limit quantity of dredged material